INDIAN BUREAU OF MINES MINERALS DEVELOPMENT AND REGULATION DIVISION

MCDR INSPECTION REPORT

Nagpur regional office

Mine file No: MAH/NAG/MN--79/NGP Mine code: 40MSH14006

(i) Name of the Inspecting : MQ17) ASHISH MISHRA

Officer and ID No.

(ii) Designation : Sr. Asst. Contrl. Mines

(iii) Accompaning mine : SHRI A. R. GULADHE, MINE MANAGER

Official with Designation

(iv) Date of Inspection : 12/03/2019
(v) Prev.inspection date : 13/10/2018

PART-I : GENERAL INFORMATION

1. (a) Mine Name : GUMGAON

(b) Registration NO. : IBM/5711/2011

(c) Category : A Other than Fully Mech.

(d) Type of Working : Underground

(e) Postal address

State : MAHARASHTRA

District : NAGPUR

Village : GUMGAON

Taluka : SAONER

Post office : KHAPA

Pin Code : 441101

FAX No. : E-mail :

Phone : 07113--86123

(f) Police Station

(g) First opening date : 01/07/1962

(h) Weekly day of rest : SUN

2. Address for :

correspondance

3. (a) Lease Number : MSH0319
(b) Lease area : 35.97
(c) Period of lease : 50

(d) Date of Expiry : 29/06/2050

MSH0086

48.6

60

30/06/2022

MSH0098

1.33

53

31/03/2020

Mineral worked 4. Main : MANGANESE ORE

5. Name and Address of the

Lessee M/S MANGANESE ORE (INDIA) LTD.

> 3, MOUNT ROAD EXTENSION POST BOX NO. 34, NAGPUR (MP) NAGPUR MAHARASHTRA

Phone: FAX :

Owner DEEPANKAR SHOME

> 1-A, MOIL BHAVAN KATOL ROAD NAGPUR NAGPUR MAHARASHTRA

Phone: FAX :

Agent Shri R.U.Singh

> Mansar Manganese Mines, Village- Mansar, Tehsil -Ramtek, Nagpur Dis Mansar

NAGPUR MAHARASHTRA

Phone: FAX :

Mining Engineer

Name Shri Kishore Chandrakar, Full Time

Qualification : B.E.Mining

Appointment/ Termination date

Geologist

Shri I.A.Siddiqui, Full Time Name

Qualification : M.Sc. (Geology)

Appointment/ Termination date

6. Date of approval of Mining : Renewal under rule 22 MCR1960 01/04/2003 Plan/Scheme of Mining Modif.of approved Mining Plan 03/06/2005 Mining Scheme rule 12 MCDR1988

22/07/2008 Mining Scheme rule 12 MCDR1988 09/07/2013 MP review under 17(1) MCR 2016 07/06/2017 MP review under 17(1) MCR 2016 20/06/2017

PAGE: 4

PART - II : OBSERVATION/COMMENTS OF INSPECTING OFFICERS

Exploration :

| Sl.No. | Item | Proposals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|---|---|--|---------|
| 1a | Backlog of previous year | 36 Boreholes were proposed to be drilled in the 35.97 ha lease area in the year 2017-18 to 2019-20 at 50 m X 100m grid interval and with a total meterage of around 10800m to 18000m (each hole is proposed to have 300-500m depth). Apart from this, no exploration is proposed till 2017-18 in the mine having 3 leases i.e. 48.596 ha, 35.97 ha and 1.33 ha. | In 2017-18, 3 Boreholes are drilled as per the proposals at 50 m X 50 grid interval with 771 of meterage. | m |
| 1b | Exploration over lease area for geological axis 1 or 2 | G-1 and G-2 (G-2 for 48.596 ha area only where spacing of borehole is more) | Entire area has been covered under G-1 level Being an underground mine, present exploration is being carried out to explore the ore zone at deeper levels through surface boreholes as well as exploratory mining. | |
| 1c | Exploration Agencies and Expenditure in lakh rupees during the year | Exploration is proposed to be carried out by MOIL Ltd. (lessee itself) and proposed cost of exploration is around Rs 6500/- per m of drilling. | Exploration was carried out by MOIL Ltd. with a expanditure of around R 50 Lakh. | n |

1d Balance area to be explored to bring Geological axis in 1 or 2

Nil

Exploration program with close-spaced drilling (50 m X 50 m) is ongoing to facilitate underground workings at deeper levels and clear demarcation of orebody for better planning.

1e Balance reserve as on 01/04/20

Balance reserves as on 01.04.2018 (as per the approved Mining Plan document) are given in actual work details:

Nil

Balance reserves/resources as on 01.04.2018: 111-2691221 T 221- 2720362 T

1f General remarks of inspecting officers on geology, exploration etc Ore zone in the lease area is displayed in anticlinal form with a strike east west and dip having total area due south with moderate to high angle. The limb of ore also plunges due west with low angle. The 1.33 ha). As 1.33 deposit is faulted with a vertical fault trending in north east south west direction, dislocating the eastern block to south. This deposit has been explored to the depth of composite area to -900'L with 75 boreholes. The avg. thickness available for mining within the area ranges from 3 m to 50 m.

The area is one of the three leases of Gumgaon mine 85.90 ha (L-1: 48.596 ha, L-2: 35.97 ha & L-3: ha area lease is PWD road, entire exploration is proposed in other 2 leases. 75 boreholes were drilled in the prove the mineral reserves/resources available in the potentially mineralized zone and further exploration is proposed in this lease with 20 boreholes from 2018-19 to 2021-22 period. 3 boreholes have been completed in the year 207-18.

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|---|--|---|---|
| 2a | Location of development w.r.t.lease area | For 2017-18: HZ Dev.: at - 100' L, -200' L, -300' L and -400' L Vertical dev.: at -100' L, - 200' L, -300' L and -400' L | As per the proposals | |
| 2b | Separate benches in topsoil, overburden and minerals (Rule 15) | Not applicable as method of working is underground. | Not applicable as method of working is underground. | |
| 2c | Stripping ratio or ore to OB ratio | Not applicable as method of working is underground. | Not applicable as method of working is underground. | |
| 2d | Quantity of topsoil generation in m3 | as method of | Not applicable as method of working is underground. | |
| 2e | Quantity of overburden generation in m3 | as method of | Not applicable as method of working is underground. | |
| 2f | General remarks of inspecting officers on development of pit w.r.t. type of deposit etc | | | Development is adequately proposed and achieved in the mine to keep the operation in a sequence. Development is being kept well in advance to prepare stopes ready for exploitation as per the proposals made in the approved Mining Plan documents. Against HZ development proposals of 778 RMT, actual achievement is 707.83 RMT and against Vertical development proposals for 241 RMT, actual achievements are 140.4 RMT. |

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|---|--|--|--|
| 3a | Number of pit proposed for production | Not applicable as method of working is underground. | Not applicable as method of working is underground. | Presently development and production is being carried out at 4 underground levels i.e., - 100'L, -200'L, - 300'L & -400'L. |
| 3b | Quantity of ROM mineral production proposed | 2017-18: 86423 T (entire mine) | 2017-18: 83662 T (entire mine) | |
| 3с | Recovery of sailable/usable mineral from ROM production | is considered | Approximately 92% of the ROM is cleaned graded ore (ROM 83662T, Mineral Rejects 6788T) | |
| 3d | Quantity of mineral reject generation | 2017-18: 8683 T | 2017-18: 6788 Т | |
| 3e | Grade of mineral rejects generation and threshold value declared. | 10% Mn to 22% Mn, threshold value is +10% Mn | +10% Mn | |
| 3f | Quantity of sub grade mineral generation. | Not applicable in present context and quantity has already been mentioned under mineral rejects. | Nil | |
| 3g | Grade of sub grade mineral generation | Not applicable | Not applicable | |
| 3h | Manual / Mechanised method adopted for segregating from ROM | Manual sorting of ROM after mechanical crushing and screening at pit head. | Manual sorting of ROM after mechanical crushing and screening at pit head. | |
| 3i | Any analysis or beneficiation study proposed and carried out for sub grade mineral and rejects. | No such proposals | Nil | |

3 ј Provision of Not applicable Nil Drilling and drilling and as method of blasting in blasting in working is underground is mineral benches underground. proposed and being carried out. Drilling is being done with 33 mm dia jackhammer drill machines. Blasting is proposed and carried out with 25 mm small dia explosives (Nitrobased) with electric detonation. 3k Provision of Not applicable Nil In underground, as method of Locomotive, SDL, mining machineries in working is drills are being mineral benches underground. used in form of mechanization. 31 Whether height Not applicable Nil Level interval is as method of of benches in being kept at 100' (around 30 m) that overburden and working is mineral suitable underground. is suitable as per for method of the method of mining. mining proposed in MP/SOM Total area Not applicable Nil 3m covered under as method of excavation/pits working is underground. 3n Ore to OB ratio Not applicable Not applicable as method for the pit/mine as method of of working is during the year. working is underground. underground. Total area put 30 Total area put For the composite mine Waste dump area in use under having 3 leases, Area reclaimed is 11.23 to use as on different heads 31.03.2018 is under: ha at the end of furnished in Excavation/pits- 7.766 actual work year ha details: Mineral Storage- 1.28 ha OB/ Waste Dumps- 7.2 ha

Infrastructure- 4.43 ha

Roads- 1.515 ha Township- 8.05 ha Total-30.241 ha

2016-17: 85942 T 3р Production of 2016-17: ROM mineral 116000 T 2015-16: 73742 T during the last 2015-16: 2014-15: 58500 T five year period 107000 T 2013-14: 55541 T as applicable 2014-15: 2012-13: 48635 T 111500 T 2013-14: 92000 2012-13: 75000

3q General remarks of inspecting officers on method of mining etc.

Method of mining is underground and method of stoping is cut and fill. The method selected is suitable as per the occurrence of orebody in the area, geology of the area and capital investments.

Solid Waste Management - Dumping:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|---|-----------------------|---------|
| 4a | Separate dumping of topsoil, OB and mineral rejects (Rule 32,33) | OB is present in the working | . | |
| 4b | Location of topsoil, OB and mineral reject dumps | As per the mining plan between Ch 06 to Ch 10 and between Ch 50 to Ch 54. | As per the proposals. | |

| 4c | Number of dumps within lease area and outside of lease area | Nil | | |
|----|--|---|---|--|
| 4d | Location of dumps w.r.t. ultimate pit limit (Rule 16) | Not applicable as method of working is underground. | Not applicable as method of working is underground. | |
| 4e | Number of active and alive dumps. | | | |
| 4f | Number of dead dumps. | | 06 Waste dumps are dead (for entire mine) | |
| 4g | Number of dumps established. | 06 dumps are already reclaimed through plantation (entire mine) | 06 dumps are already reclaimed through plantation (entire mine) | Total Waste dumps reclaimed area is 11.23 ha. |
| 4h | Whether Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps are there. | Yes | Yes | |
| 4i | Length of Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps | 1500 m garland | As per the proposals. | The garland drains and retaining walls are already constructed in the past. Only maintenance work is being carried out as and when required. |
| 4j | Number of settling ponds | No such proposals | Nil | |

4k Specific comments of inspecting officer on waste dump management

Major waste dumps that are available over 11.23 ha area in the Northwestern part of the lease area are already reclaimed and rehabilitated through plantation. Garland drains and retaining walls are available at the toe of the dumps in form of protective measures. Thus waste dump management aspect is satisfactory at mine level.

Solid Waste Management - Backfilling:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|---|---|---|---------|
| 5a | Status of part or full extraction of mineral from mined out area before starting backfilling. | as method of | Not applicable as method of working is underground. | |
| 5b | Area under backfilling of mined out area | as method of | Not applicable as method of working is underground. | |
| 5c | Concurrent use of topsoil for restoration or rehabilitation of mineral out area (Rule 32) | Not applicable as method of working is underground. | Not applicable as method of working is underground. | |
| 5d | Total area fully reclaimed and rehabilitated | Not applicable as method of working is underground. | Not applicable as method of working is underground. | |

5e General remarks of inspecting officers on backfilling and reclamation etc.

At conceptual stage, existing old pit is proposed to be converted into water reservoir and no backfilling is proposed. Generated waste is used for filling of underground voids, thus, available waste is quite less for backfilling of the old pit.

Progressive Mine Clousre Plan:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|---|---|---|
| 6a | Whether Annual report on PMCP submitted on time and correctly. Rule 23 E(2). | To be submitted on or before 30th June every year depicting work done under PMCP in the preceeding year | Yes | |
| 6b | Area available for rehabilitation (ha) . | No such proposals | Nil | Area avaliable for the matured dumps has already been reclaimed. |
| бс | afforestation done (ha). | No proposals for the year. | 1000 saplings were planted in the infilling space at the waste dumps | |
| 6d | No. of saplings planted during the year | No proposals for the year. | 1000 saplings within lease area and 30000 saplings outside the lease area | |
| 6e | Cumulative no .of plants | Not available | Cumulative number of plantes in Gumgaon Mine comprising of 3 leases is around 132930 out of which 94329 plants are surviving at ~71%. | |
| 6f | Any other method of rehabilitation | No proposals for the year. | Nil | |
| 6g | Cost incurred on watch and care during the year | No proposals except environment monitoring cost. | Rs 20.0 lakhs approximately including SDF | |

| 6h | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (i) Voids available for backfilling (Lx B x D | Not applicable as method of working is underground. | Not applicable of working is underground. | as method |
|----|--|---|---|-----------|
| 6i | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (ii) Voids filled by waste / tailings | Not applicable as method of working is underground. | Not applicable of working is underground. | as method |
| 6j | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iii) Afforestati on on backfilled area | Not applicable as method of working is underground. | Not applicable of working is underground. | as method |
| 6k | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iv) Rehabilitation by making water reservoir | Not applicable as method of working is underground. | Not applicable of working is underground. | as method |
| 61 | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (v)any other specific means. | Not applicable as method of working is underground. | Not applicable of working is underground. | as method |
| бт | Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (i)afforestation | No such proposals | Nil | |
| 6n | Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (ii)Area rehabilitation (ha) | No such proposals | Nil | |
| 60 | Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (iii)Method of rehabilitation | No such proposals | Nil | |

out.

| 6p | Compliance of environmental monitoring (core zone and buffer zone) | monitoring is proposed to be carried out as per the guidelines of | Environment monitoring was done for air, water, noise and ground vibrations in the core and buffer zone. Reports were seen during site inspection and all the parameters were within the permissible limits. Surface subsidence is also being monitored regularly. | |
|----|---|---|--|---|
| 6q | General remarks of inspecting officers on PMCP compliance and progressive closure operations etc. | | | PMCP work done in the year is in form of in-filling plantation through 1000 saplings and Environment Monitoring. Dead dumps have already been stabilized and reclaimed. Apart from that plantation outside lease area in the nearby villages has been carried |

Mineral Conservation:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|--|--|---------|
| 7a | ROM Mineral dispatch or grade-wise sorting within lease area | _ | Dispatch of graded mineral after mechanical crushing, screening and manual sorting of ROM | |
| 7b | Method of grade-wise mineral sorting i.e. manual or mechanical. | of ROM after | Manual sorting of ROM after mechanical crushing and screening at pit head. | |
| 7c | Different grade of mineral sorted out at mines. | Mineral rejects, 25% Mn to below 35% Mn & 35% Mn to below 46% Mn grades | As per the proposals. | |

| 7d | Any beneficiation process at mines . | No such proposals | Nil | |
|----|--|----------------------|-----|---|
| 7e | General remarks of inspecting officer on Mineral conservation and beneficiation issues | | | Mineral rejects part of the ROM having approximate grade 10-22% Mn is proposed for separate stacking and shall be used as per the requirements. Mineralized dumps are being exploited and mineral contents are being extracted from the dumps. Thus, mineral conservational aspect is satisfactory. |

Environment:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|---|---|---------|
| 8a | Separate removal and utilization of topsoil (Rule 32) | as method of | Not applicable as method of working is underground. | |
| 8b | Concurrent use or storage of topsoil | Not applicable as method of working is underground. | Not applicable as method of working is underground. | |
| 8c | Separate dumps for overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines (Rule 33) | OB is present in the working | No top soil or OB is present in the working area being an underground mine. | |

| 8d | Use of overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines dumps for restoring the land to its original use | Not applicable as method of working is underground. | Not applicable as method of working is underground. | |
|----|---|---|---|--|
| 8e | Phased restoration, reclamation and rehabilitation of lands affected by mining operations (Pits, dumps etc) | Not applicable as method of working is underground. | Not applicable as method of working is underground. | Matured waste dumps covering 11.23 ha area have already been reclaimed through plantation. |
| 8f | Baseline information on existence of plantation and additional plantation done (Rule 41) | Baseline information given in the mining plan. No additional plantation is proposed till the maturity of dumps. | Baseline information has been given in the mining plan for the area. Further, additional plantation has been carried out in Gumgaon Mine comprising of 3 leases is around 132930 out of which 94329 plants are surviving at ~71%. | |
| 8g | Survival rate | ~80% | ~71% | As survival rate is low, it was overcome by planting more number of saplings. |
| 8h | Water sprinkling on roads to control airborne dust | Yes | Over surface operations, water sprinkling was done through 6000 L capacity water tanker deployed in the mine. | |

8i General remarks
of inspecting
officer on
aesthetic beauty
in and around
mines area

Mainly, working is through underground means in the area (apart from dump workings). Hence, apart from normal degradation due to mining, aesthetic beauty is satisfactory in the area. Lessee has done extensive plantation work over matured dumps in collaboration with NEERI which is remarkable.

Compliance of Rule 45:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|---|---|-------------------------------|---------|
| 9a | Status of submission of Monthly and Annual returns | are required to be | | |
| 9b | Scrutiny of Annual return for information on Mining Engineer, Geologist and Manager | Mining Engineer: Shri V. M. Khedikar Geologist: Shri Arijit Mitra Manager: Shri A. R. Guladhe | Correct information furnished | |

| 9c | Scrutiny of Annual return on land use pattern for area under pits, reclaimed area, dumps etc. | furnished on | For the composite mine having 3 leases, Area under: Excavation/pits- 7.766 ha Mineral Storage- 1.28 ha OB/ Waste Dumps- 7.2 ha Infrastructure- 4.43 ha Roads- 1.515 ha Township- 8.05 ha Total-30.241 ha |
|----|---|---|--|
| 9d | Scrutiny of Annual return on afforestation | Within lease: No. of saplings- 1000, Survival- 80% Outside Lease: No. of saplings- 30000, Survival- 80% | Correct information for within lease plantations. Outside lease plantation work could not be verified. |
| 9e | Scrutiny of Annual return on mineral reject generation (Grade and quantity) | T | Complete information provided. These mineral rejects should also be reflected in the reported ROM under Part VI. Thus, necessary corrections were advised to be made. |
| 9f | Scrutiny of Annual return on ROM stock and/or graded ore | | Complete information furnished. |

9g Scrutiny of Sale value: Rs Complete information Nov'18 MR was Annual return on 885242709/-, furnished. scrutinized and sale value, Ex. Ex-mine price: observed that Exfor 25% Mn to Mine price and mine price of 25% below 35% Mnto below 35% Mn production cost Rs 6506.67/was furnished as per T & Rs 94040 per T 35% Mn to which was reported below 46% Mnincorrect . The Rs 13081.42/matter was per T informed to M/s Production MOIL Ltd. (to cost- Rs Agent Shri A. V. 4097.09/- per Masade) for immediate corrections and the same was corrected as Rs 9404.56/- per T. 9h Scrutiny of Value of Fixed Complete information has Annual return on Assets (in been furnished. fixed assets Rs): 644718704/-Apart from this, depreciation for plant, buildings, machineries etc. has been furnished under Part-II 9k Scrutiny of Complete Complete and correct information furnished as Annual return on information mining given for per the extent of machineries mining mechanization. machineries deployed at

the mine.

PAGE : 20

| Details of violations observed during current inspection and compliance position of violation pointed out | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|--|--|
| Violat | cion observed | Show couse position | | | |
| Rule NO. | Issued on Compliance on | Rule NO. | Issued on Compliance on | | |

Date : (ASHISH MISHRA)

Indian Bureau of Mines